CREATE
Computational Research and Engineering
Acquisition Tools and Environments

KESTREL

HPCMP CREATE™-AV Kestrel Simulations for the DPW-8/AePW-4 Buffet Working Group: Part 1

APA-31: DPW-8/AePW-4: Buffet Working Group – ONERA
OAT15A Test Case
Wednesday, 23 July 2025

DOD HPC MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

Steven Lamberson – HPCMP CREATE-AV
Brent Pomeroy, Tausif Jamal – NASA Langley Research Center

HPCMP CREATE™-AV Kestrel

KESTREL

CREATE

- **Multiphysics simulation code supporting US DoD fixed-wing programs of record**
  - Full spectrum of flight conditions and missions
  - Full spectrum of aircraft/weapons types
  - Coupled physics: **aerodynamics**, thermochemistry, aero-heating, **structural dynamics**, propulsion, flight controls, 6-DoF motion w/ generalized constraints, separation/contact/collision
  - Easy for users to learn, use, customize, and extend



HIFiRE 6 shroud separation



X-56A Body-Freedom Flutter

Space Launch System asymmetric vortices



DOD HPC MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

Problem Description

- **ONERA OAT15A transonic airfoil**
  - Mach 0.73, $Re_c = 3\times10^6$, $T_\infty = 271$ K
  - Experimental C_P and RMS(C_P) available at several α
- **Workshop Problems**
  - Case 1a – use a steady solver to solve at α = {1.36°, 1.50°, 2.50°, 3.00°, 3.10°, 3.25°, 3.40°, 3.50°, 3.60°, 3.90°}
  - Case 1b – use unsteady solver to solve at same α
    - Focus on grid level 3
- **Kestrel Setup**
  - Use workshop-provided unstructured grids from Cadence
  - Look at both Spalart-Allmaras (SA) and Menter Shear Stress Transport (SST) turbulence models
    - Both rotational/curvature correction and QCR 2020 turned on for both models
  - Using Kestrel's "FN1+NN" expanded gradient stencil





CASE 1A

Steady Solver Results: SA



Steady Solver Results: SST



Steady Solver Results: C_P Distributions



CASE 1B

Unsteady Solver Time Step Study: α=3.90°, SA



- C_L, C_D, C_M all move noticeably as time step changes
- Increasing number of subiterations does not have significant impact on behavior

Unsteady Solver Time Step Study: α=3.90°, SST



- **C_L, C_D, C_M all move noticeably as time-step changes, but less so than for SA**
- **Subiterations matter**
  - 3 subiterations produce steady-ish behavior, 10 subiterations produce unsteady behavior

Unsteady Solver Time Step Study: α=3.90°, C_P





- For SA, no discernable difference between 3 and 10 subiterations
- For SST, 3 subiterations shows similar behavior to steady response, and 10 subiterations shows significantly improved comparison to experimental data

Conclusions

- **Case 1a – Steady Simulations (RANS)**
  - Conducted steady runs at all grid levels using both SA and SST.
  - Both SA and SST simulations achieved reasonable comparisons to experimental data when comparing mean C_P distribution.
  - The SA shock location tended to be further downstream than the SST shock location. The experimental shock location was between the two for lower α and upstream of both at higher α.
  - SST showed signs that the flow was unsteady at most grid levels for $\alpha \geq 3.10°$, but SA only demonstrated signs of potential unsteadiness for the L6 grid.
- **Case 1b – Unsteady Simulations (URANS)**
  - Conducted initial time step study at $\alpha = 3.90°$ on the L3 grid using both SA and SST.
  - For SA, a suitable time step and subiteration count combination has not been found.
  - For SST, multiple time steps using 10 subiterations showed reasonable unsteady results when compared to the experimental data.

Acknowledgements

- Material presented here is a product of the Computational Research and Engineering for Acquisition Tools and Environments (CREATE) Program sponsored by the U.S. Department of Defense HPC Modernization Program Office.
- Computations done in support of this effort were done on supercomputing resources provided by the HPCMP at the Navy DoD Supercomputing Resource Center (DSRC) and by the High-End Computing Capability (HECC) at the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Facility.

QUESTIONS?